

# Renormalization theory of Feynman amplitudes on configuration spaces

Nikolay M. Nikolov

July 22, 2009

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy,  
Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria  
mitov@inrne.bas.bg

## Abstract

In a previous paper “*Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory and Cohomologies of Configuration Spaces*” (arXiv:0903.0187) we presented a new method for renormalization in Euclidean configuration spaces based on certain renormalization maps. This approach is aimed to serve for developing an algebraic algorithm for computing the Gell–Mann–Low renormalization group action. In the present work we introduce a modification of the theory of renormalization maps for the case of Minkowski space and we give the way how it is combined within the causal perturbation theory.

## Contents

|                                                                                |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction                                                                | 1  |
| 2. Axiomatic properties of time–ordered products                               | 2  |
| 3. Sketch of the construction of time–ordered products by renormalization maps | 4  |
| 4. More precise formulation of time–ordered products                           | 6  |
| 5. Causal Wick expansion and causality                                         | 10 |
| 6. Theory of renormalization maps on Minkowski space                           | 13 |
| 7. Unitarity                                                                   | 17 |
| 8. Action Ward identity                                                        | 18 |
| 9. Renormalizing perturbative Euclidean field theory                           | 19 |

**References** **20**

**1. Introduction**

The causal approach to perturbative quantum field theory (QFT) originates in the work of Stueckelberg and Bogolubov and was fully developed by (and named after) Epstein and Glaser ([EG], see also [S2], [DF]). In this method the renormalization is done for the products of fields (time-ordered, or retarded). This facilitates the generalization of the perturbation theory on manifolds but still it has the disadvantage of being rather complicated technically, especially for concrete calculations.

In paper [N1] (see also its review [N2]) we have found an equivalent construction to the Epstein–Glaser procedure, which is entirely set up in terms of renormalization of Feynman amplitudes (integrals of functions). This approach then has the additional advantage of being independent of concrete models of quantum fields like the  $\varphi^4$ -theory or quantum electrodynamics etc. In this way we get rid of the technical difficulties present in a particular model, in other words, we separate them from the renormalization problem. Furthermore, our reformulation of the renormalization problem makes possible to give a geometric characterization for the renormalization ambiguity. Our main goal there was to use this geometric analysis in order to derive an algebraic algorithm for determining the Gell–Mann–Low renormalization group action, i.e., the action of the one parameter group  $\mathbb{R}^+$  on the space of coupling constants, which is induced by the scaling transformations (in terms of formal diffeomorphisms). In particular, we are interested in algebraic algorithms for calculating the perturbative expansions of  $\beta$ -functions and anomalous dimensions.

In the present paper we introduce a Minkowski space version of the theory of renormalization maps developed in [N1] and we also combine this theory with the causal perturbation theory.

**2. Axiomatic properties of time-ordered products**

Let us briefly recall some basic facts from the causal perturbation theory ([EG]). In this approach one constructs time-ordered products of fields

$$T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)),$$

where  $\Theta_j(x)$  are local free field polynomials like  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $:\varphi^2:(x)$ ,  $:\partial^\mu\varphi\partial_\mu\varphi:(x)$ , ... (i.e.,  $\Theta_j(x)$  are composite fields of free fields). The main axioms for these time-ordered products are the following:

( $T_0$ ) *Domain*:  $T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n))$  are operator valued distributions acting on *invariant* domain that contains the domain of the Wightman fields;

( $T_1$ ) *Permutation symmetry*:

$$T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) = (-1)^\varepsilon T_n(\Theta_{\sigma_1}(x_{\sigma_1}) \cdots \Theta_{\sigma_n}(x_{\sigma_n}))$$

for every permutation  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  of  $(1, \dots, n)$ , where  $\varepsilon (= \varepsilon(\sigma; \Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n))$  is the *fermionic* parity of the permutation  $\sigma$  for the given set of local Wick polynomials  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n$ .

( $T_2$ ) *Covariance*:

$$\begin{aligned} & U_g T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) U_g^{-1} \\ &= T_n\left((\pi(g)^{-1} \Theta_1)(gx_1) \cdots (\pi(g)^{-1} \Theta_n)(gx_n)\right) \end{aligned}$$

for every Poincaré transformation  $g$ .<sup>1</sup>

( $T_3$ ) *Causality*:

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{m+n}(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) \\ &= T_m(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_m(x_m)) T_n(\Theta_{m+1}(x_{m+1}) \cdots \Theta_{m+n}(x_{m+n})) \end{aligned}$$

in the domain<sup>2</sup>  $x_j \gtrsim x_{m+k}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, n$ . In particular,  $T_1((\Theta(x)) = \Theta(x)$ .

( $T_4$ ) *Causal Wick expansion*:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) &= \sum_{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_1! \cdots \mathbf{r}_n!} \langle 0 | T_n(\Theta_1^{(\mathbf{r}_1)}(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n^{(\mathbf{r}_n)}(x_n)) | 0 \rangle \\ &\times : \Phi^{\mathbf{r}_1}(x_1) \cdots \Phi^{\mathbf{r}_n}(x_n) :, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where we are using the same “superquadri-index” notations like in the Epstein–Glaser paper [EG] (their notation  $:A(x)^{\mathbf{r}}:$ )<sup>3</sup>.

( $T_5$ ) *Scaling degree*: there is a grading on the space of composite fields provided by the so called scaling dimension  $\dim \Theta$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{The Steinmann scaling degree of } \langle 0 | T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) | 0 \rangle \\ & \leq \dim \Theta_1 + \cdots + \dim \Theta_n. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>The translations act trivially on the fields, i.e.,  $(\pi(g)\Theta)(x) = \Theta(x)$ .

<sup>2</sup>The relation  $x \gtrsim y$  means that  $x \neq y$  and  $x$  is not contained in the past of  $y$ , i.e.,  $x \notin y - \overline{V}_+$ , where  $\overline{V}_+$  is the closure of the open future light-cone  $V_+$ .

<sup>3</sup>The right hand side is well defined due to the zeroth theorem of Epstein–Glaser ([EG]).

( $T_6$ ) *Unitarity*:

$$T_n(\Theta_1(x_1)^+ \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)^+)^+ = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\text{ordered partitions} \\ (S_1, \dots, S_m) \text{ of} \\ \{1, \dots, n\}}} (-1)^{n+m} T_{S_1} \cdots T_{S_m},$$

where  $T_{S_j} := T_{|S_j|} \left( \prod_{k \in S_j} \Theta_j(x_k) \right)$  and  $(\cdot)^+$  stands for the Hermitian conjugation.

There are some further requirements to the time-ordered products, like the action Ward identity. We shall not consider the latter at this stage but shall make some comments on it in Sect. 8. Let us also point out that we would like to have the time-ordered products  $T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n))$  constructed for every set of composite fields  $\Theta_1(x), \dots, \Theta_n(x)$ , and this also includes the multilinearity condition mentioned in [S2, Sect. 4.1].

### 3. Sketch of the construction of time-ordered products by renormalization maps

In this section we shall draw in sketch our ideas for constructing time-ordered products. We shall explain them more precisely in the subsequent sections.

So, our idea for a construction of time-ordered products, which is alternative to the old Epstein–Glaser procedure, is to use directly the the causal Wick expansion (2.1). In other words, we would like to set

$$T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_1! \cdots \mathbf{r}_n!} R_n \left( \langle 0 | \Theta_1^{(\mathbf{r}_1)}(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n^{(\mathbf{r}_n)}(x_n) | 0 \rangle \right) \times : \Phi^{\mathbf{r}_1}(x_1) \cdots \Phi^{\mathbf{r}_n}(x_n) :, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $R_n$  are suitable linear maps

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{algebra } \mathcal{O}_n \text{ of non globally defined} \\ n\text{-point complex analytic functions} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{R_n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{space of globally} \\ \text{defined distributions} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The problem then is what are the properties of the maps  $R_n$ , which will ensure the properties ( $T_0$ )–( $T_6$ ). In [N1] we have introduced such maps for Euclidean Green functions and called them renormalization maps. In Sect. 6 we shall give a modification of this theory on Minkowski space and shall show that they provide the main properties of the time-ordered products.

At this point we shall only describe the algebras of regular functions on which we apply the renormalization maps. Thus, this is a sequence of algebras  $\mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n, \dots$  etc., where  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is an algebra of translation invariant complex analytic functions of  $n$  vector arguments belonging to the *symmetrized extended  $n$ -point backward tube*.<sup>4</sup> These functions one can think of as coming from *analytically extended* Wightman functions of composite fields. More precisely, we assume that the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is linearly spanned by all finite linear combinations of products of the form

$$G = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} G_{jk}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k),$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_k = (x_k^0, \dots, x_k^{D-1})$  are vectors belonging, in general, to the complexified Minkowski space  $M + iM \equiv \mathbb{R}^{D-1,1} + i\mathbb{R}^{D-1,1}$  and the functions  $G_{jk}(\mathbf{x})$  belong to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . The latter algebra,  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , is supposed to be a sub-algebra of the algebra of analytic functions on the extended backward tube in  $M + iM$ . One can think of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  as an algebra containing the propagators of the theory. In this way the algebras  $\mathcal{O}_n$  for  $n > 2$  are entirely determined by the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . To retain the permutation symmetry on the algebras  $\mathcal{O}_n$  it is also convenient to continue to consider them as algebras of regular functions on Euclidean configuration spaces like in [N1] but now we assume in addition that they also possess certain analytic continuations mentioned above.

We retain the technical assumption for the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  (and thus for all other  $\mathcal{O}_n$ ) that it is closed with respect to multiplication of its elements by polynomials as well as with respect to applying derivatives.

We conclude this section with a more suitable form of the causal Wick expansion

$$\begin{aligned} & T_n(\Theta_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \Theta_n(\mathbf{x}_n)) \\ &= R_n \left( \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \exp \left( \sum_{r,s} C_{r,s}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_r(\mathbf{x}_j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_s(\mathbf{x}_k)} \right) \right) \\ & \quad \times : \Theta_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \Theta_n(\mathbf{x}_n) : , \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>The symmetrized extended  $n$ -point backward tube is the domain of analyticity of the  $n$ -point Wightman functions, which consists of the orbit of the  $n$ -point backward tube

$$\left\{ (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in M^{\times n} + iM^{\times n} : \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \in M - iV_+ \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

( $V_+$  being the open future light-cone) under the action of the complexified Lorentz group and the permutation group [J, IV.5].

where  $\varphi_r(x) := \partial_x^r \varphi(x)$ ,  $C_{r,s}(x_1 - x_2) := \partial_{x_1}^r \partial_{x_2}^s \langle 0 | \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) | 0 \rangle$  and  $\partial_x^r = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \right)^{r_0} \cdots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{D-1}} \right)^{r_{D-1}}$ . The formula (3.2) is understood in the following way. The product

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \exp \left( \sum_{r,s} C_{r,s}(x_j - x_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_r(x_j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_s(x_k)} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

in the argument of  $R_n$  is considered, after expanding the exponents, as a differential operator in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_r(x_j)}$ , which acts on  $:\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n):$ , where every  $\Theta_j(x_j)$  is considered as a polynomial in  $\{\varphi_r(x_j)\}_r$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . The coefficients of so obtained differential operator (3.3) are elements of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  on which we then apply the renormalization map  $R_n$ .

Let us mention that the formula (3.2) is known in the literature also in the form that uses variational derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} & T_n(\Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n)) \\ &= R_n \left( \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int dz dw C(z-w) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(z)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(w)} \right) : \Theta_1(x_1) \cdots \Theta_n(x_n) : \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C(x-y) = \langle 0 | \varphi(x) \varphi(y) | 0 \rangle$ .

#### 4. More precise formulation of time-ordered products

Let  $F$  be the linear span of the generating fields  $\varphi_A$  in our theory. In other words,  $F$  is a vector space equipped with a basis  $\varphi_A$  that is in one-to-one correspondence with the basic Wightman fields<sup>5</sup>  $\varphi_A(x)$ . In particular,  $F$  is some representation of the Lorentz group, which in general is a sum of irreducible representations. The space  $F$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded (bosons and fermions). We work with *complex* fields. Thus, in what follows  $F$  and all the vector spaces and algebras will be considered over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The space of the derivative fields is

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes F,$$

which we shall also write as  $\mathcal{F}^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]F$ . Here,  $\partial := (\partial_0, \dots, \partial_{D-1}) \equiv \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{D-1}} \right)$  and so,  $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$  is just the linear span of all derivative fields of the form

$$(\partial^r \varphi_A)(x) \equiv \varphi_{A,r}(x) := (\partial_0^{r_0} \cdots \partial_{D-1}^{r_{D-1}} \varphi_A)(x),$$

---

<sup>5</sup>With a slight abuse of the notations we shall use the one and the same letters  $\varphi_A$  in the two cases.

where  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{D-1})$  is a multiindex. The space of all composite fields is then introduced as the free graded-commutative algebra over  $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{F}^{(1)}].$$

In this way,  $\mathcal{F}$  is also a differential algebra with even derivatives  $\partial_0, \dots, \partial_{D-1}$  (with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading).

Thus, we assumed that the algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of “*off-shell*” fields  $\Theta$ , i.e. it is not factorized by any ideal at the first step. Later, the elements  $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}$  will be mapped to the space of composite fields  $\Theta(\mathbf{x})$  of free fields and their derivatives, which act on a (dense domain in a) Hilbert space. Let us also point out that the product in the algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  does not correspond to the product in the operator algebra of quantum fields, but it rather corresponds to the pointwise normal product.<sup>6</sup>

For the axiom  $(T_5)$  we also need a grading “dim” on  $\mathcal{F}$  in such a way that it becomes a graded commutative differential algebra with derivatives  $\{\partial_\mu\}_{\mu=0}^{D-1}$  of degree 1. The latter implies that the grading function on  $\mathcal{F}$  is completely determined by its restriction on the space  $F$ : for instance,  $\dim(\varphi_A \partial_\mu \varphi_B) = 1 + \dim \varphi_A + \dim \varphi_B$ . We assume that the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading function on  $\mathcal{F}$  is expressed by the grading function  $\dim$  as  $2 \dim \pmod{2}$ . We also require that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{The Steinmann scaling degree of } \langle 0 | \varphi_A(\mathbf{x}_1) \varphi_B(\mathbf{x}_2) | 0 \rangle \\ & \leq \dim \varphi_A + \dim \varphi_B. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Next, let us consider for every positive integer  $j \in \mathbb{N} \equiv \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , a copy of  $\mathcal{F}$  denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{\{j\}}$  together with an identification

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\{j\}} : \Theta \rightarrow (\Theta)_j. \quad (4.2)$$

The elements  $(\Theta)_j$  of the space  $\mathcal{F}_{\{j\}}$  will further play the role of local fields  $\Theta(\mathbf{x}_j)$  in the variable  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . Finally, let us introduce the spaces of all polylocal (off-shell) fields  $\mathcal{F}_S$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \bigotimes_{j \in S} \mathcal{F}_{\{j\}}$$

for every finite nonempty subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_S$  is an algebra,<sup>7</sup> which is the tensor product of the *graded* differential algebras  $\{\mathcal{F}_{\{j\}}\}_{j \in S}$ . The

---

<sup>6</sup>Our notation  $\mathcal{F}$  should correspond to  $\mathcal{P}^\oplus$  from [S2].

<sup>7</sup>Again the product in this algebra  $\mathcal{F}_S$  does not correspond to the product in the operator algebra of quantum fields.

algebras  $\mathcal{F}_S$  naturally form an *inductive* system (i.e., if  $S' \subseteq S''$  then  $\mathcal{F}_{S'} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{S''}$ ) and we introduce also the inductive limit

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} = \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_S \equiv \bigcup_{\substack{S \subset \mathbb{N} \\ \text{finite}}} \mathcal{F}_S.$$

(Thus, we identify  $\mathcal{F}_{\{j\}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_S$  with subalgebras in  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$ .)

The elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  will be mapped later either to sums of normal products  $:\Theta_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \Theta_n(\mathbf{x}_n):$ , or to time-ordered products  $T(\Theta_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \Theta_n(\mathbf{x}_n))$ .

So, the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  is the free (graded-)commutative algebra with generators  $\{\varphi_{A,r,j}\}_{A,r,j}$  (corresponding to the fields  $(\partial^r \varphi_A)(\mathbf{x}_j)$ ). This algebra has various structures on it (several products, derivatives, coproducts, structure of a jet algebra, ...) and it is very interesting to find the interplay between them. We shall not investigate here the possible algebraic structures on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  but we shall mention only one, which will be used further. This is the permutation symmetry: for every bijection  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \cong \mathbb{N}$  there is a natural action  $\sigma : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$ , which is a representation of the (infinite) permutation group  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N}) \ni \sigma$ . To write this action explicitly, note that the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  is linearly spanned by products of the form

$$\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_j \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\Theta_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\{j\}}$  (the  $j$ -th copy of  $\mathcal{F}$ ) and only finitely many  $\Theta_j$  are different from 1. Then the action  $\sigma : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by the formula

$$\sigma \left( \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_j \right) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_{\sigma(j)}.$$

(In particular, in the purely bosonic case the above action of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$  is trivial.)

In order to introduce the linear maps from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  into the space of operator valued distributions, which give the normal and time-ordered products let us denote for every finite  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathcal{D}'_S := \mathcal{D}'(M_S),$$

where

$$M_S := M^S / M$$

is the space of  $S$ -point configurations  $(\mathbf{x}_j)_{j \in S}$  of vectors in  $M$  modulo translations (the elements of  $M_S$  will be denoted by

$$[\mathbf{x}_j]_{j \in S} := (\mathbf{x}_j)_{j \in S} \bmod M).$$



Thus,  $\mathcal{D}'_S$  is the space of all translation invariant “ $S$ -point” distributions over the Minkowski space  $M$  (i.e., distributions whose vector arguments  $\mathbf{x}_j$  are indexed by elements  $j \in S$ ). Also, let us denote

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_S := \mathcal{D}'(M^S, Op(\mathfrak{D}))$$

the space of all  $S$ -point operator valued distributions acting on an invariant dense domain  $\mathfrak{D}$  in a Hilbert space. Let us introduce again the inductive limits

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{\substack{S \subset \mathbb{N} \\ \text{finite}}} \mathcal{D}'_S, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{\substack{S \subset \mathbb{N} \\ \text{finite}}} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_S,$$

and the actions of the permutation group  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$  ( $\ni \sigma$ ) on the spaces  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}$ , which are given by the formula

$$(\sigma f)(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots) = f(\mathbf{x}_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \dots).$$

Now, in a Wightman theory of a system of free fields  $\{\varphi_A\}$  we have linear maps

$$N : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}, \quad T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}.$$

The first of them is the normal product:

$$N\left(\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_j\right) := :\Theta_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \Theta_2(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdots:$$

and it has a canonical realization so that we shall not characterize it here axiomatically. The second map  $T$  gives the time ordered products:

$$T\left(\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_j\right) := T(\Theta_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \Theta_2(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdots)$$

and it does not have a canonical construction but is defined up to a renormalization ambiguity.

It is easy to reformulate the axiomatic properties  $(T_0)$ – $(T_6)$  for the time ordered products in terms of the maps  $N$  and  $T$ . In particular, both maps,  $N$  and  $T$ , have the permutation symmetry:

$$\sigma \circ N = N \circ \sigma, \quad \sigma \circ T = T \circ \sigma$$

for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$ .

The causality reads

$$T(\Theta_{S'} \Theta_{S''}) \Big|_{\mathfrak{C}_{S;S'}} = T(\Theta_{S'}) T(\Theta_{S''}) \Big|_{\mathfrak{C}_{S;S'}} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $S = S' \dot{\cup} S''$  (disjoint union),  $\Theta_{S'} \in \mathcal{F}_{S'}$  and  $\Theta_{S''} \in \mathcal{F}_{S''}$ , and  $\mathfrak{C}_{S;S'}$  is the open region:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{S;S'} := \left\{ [x_j]_{j \in S} : x_{j'} \gtrsim x_{j''} \text{ for } j' \in S' \text{ and } j'' \in S'' \right\}$$

(the relation  $x_{j'} \gtrsim x_{j''}$  stands for  $x_{j''} \notin x_{j'} - \overline{V}_+$ ).

The unitarity reads

$$T(\Theta_S^*)^+ = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\text{ordered partitions} \\ (S_1, \dots, S_m) \text{ of } S}} (-1)^{|S|+m} T(\Theta_{S_1}) \cdots T(\Theta_{S_m}) \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\Theta_{S_k} \in \mathcal{F}_{S_k}$ ,  $\Theta_S = \Theta_{S_1} \cdots \Theta_{S_m}$  and  $\Theta \mapsto \Theta^*$  is the involutive anti-automorphism of the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  generated by the antilinear involution on the space  $F$  that represents the field conjugation.

## 5. Causal Wick expansion and causality

In order to formulate the causal Wick expansion in terms of the notations of Sect. 4 let us first introduce for every finite subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_S$ , which is the linear span of all

$$G = \prod_{\substack{j, k \in S \\ j < k}} G_{jk}(x_j - x_k), \quad G_{jk}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_2. \quad (5.1)$$

The system of algebras  $\{\mathcal{O}_S\}_S$  is again an inductive system and we set

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{\substack{S \subset \mathbb{N} \\ \text{finite}}} \mathcal{O}_S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_n.$$

As in the above cases of such inductive limits we have also a natural action

$$\sigma : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}}$$

of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N}) \ni \sigma$ .

The system of renormalization maps  $R_S : \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow R_S$  (to be defined in Sect. 6) will be consistent with the above inductive limits and thus, they will induce a linear map

$$R : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}, \quad R(\mathcal{O}_S) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'_S. \quad (5.2)$$

Now, the causal Wick expansion (3.2) has also more compact form in the above notations,

$$T(\Theta_S) = R(\widehat{G}_S)N(\Theta_S), \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\Theta_S \in \mathcal{F}_S$ , and  $\widehat{G}_S$  is an operator

$$\widehat{G}_S : \mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}_S \quad (5.4)$$

defined by

$$\widehat{G}_S = \prod_{\substack{j, k \in S \\ j < k}} \widehat{G}_{jk}, \quad \widehat{G}_{jk} = \exp \left( \sum_{A, B, r, s} C_{A, r; B, s}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{A, r, j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{B, s, k}} \right) \quad (5.5)$$

and  $C_{A, B, r, s}(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) := \partial_{\mathbf{x}_1}^r \partial_{\mathbf{x}_2}^s \langle 0 | \varphi_A(\mathbf{x}_1) \varphi_B(\mathbf{x}_2) | 0 \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_2$ . Let us note that the derivations  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{A, r, j}}$  entering in Eq. (5.5) are the canonical graded derivations on the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  regarded as a free graded commutative algebra with generators  $\{\varphi_{A, r, j}\}_{A, r, j}$  (these are the “vertical derivations”). We also note that equation (5.3) is more correct to write as

$$T(\Theta_S) = \text{mult} \circ (R \otimes N)(\widehat{G}_S \Theta_S), \quad (5.6)$$

where  $\text{mult} : \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}(\text{norm})} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  is the operation of multiplication between the distributions in the space  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  and the operator-valued distributions in the subspace  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}(\text{norm})} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  spanned by the normal products of local fields of different arguments (this multiplication exists due to the zeroth theorem of Epstein and Glaser [EG]).

**Remark 5.1.** The maps  $\widehat{G}_S$  are consistent with the inductive limit and generate a linear map  $\widehat{G} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then Eq. (5.6) reads

$$T = \text{mult} \circ (R \otimes N) \circ \widehat{G}.$$

We shall work with  $\widehat{G}_S$  because of the combinatorics related to the causality.

We have also a Wick expansion formula for ordinary products. In order to formulate it we need additional notations. Since the functions belonging to

$\mathcal{O}_S$  are analytic functions on certain  $S$ -point tube domains we have another linear maps  $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_S$ , the boundary values of analytic functions. In order to define them we introduce the notion of an *ordered* set  $\vec{S}$  that is a set  $S = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$  equipped with a total order  $j_1 \prec \dots \prec j_n$  on it. We shall also write it as

$$\vec{S} = \langle j_1, \dots, j_n \rangle$$

and  $S$  will be called a body of  $\vec{S}$ . If  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  we shall consider the order  $\prec$  on  $S$  as an *independent* structure on it, which may not coincide with the order  $<$  induced by  $\mathbb{N}$ . For every ordered set  $\vec{S} = \langle j_1, \dots, j_n \rangle$  we have a standard backward<sup>8</sup> tube domain associated to  $\vec{S}$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\vec{S}} := \left\{ [x_j]_{j \in S} \in M_S + iM_S : x_{j_k} - x_{j_{k+1}} \in M - iV_+ \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

( $V_+$  being the open forward light-cone in  $M$ ) and then we define a boundary value map

$$\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}} : \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_S$$

with respect to this tube  $\mathcal{T}_{\vec{S}}$ . Thus, the linear maps  $\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}$  will produce the Wightman functions in the theory.

Now, the Wick formula for ordinary products is

$$N(\Theta_{j_1}) \cdots N(\Theta_{j_n}) = \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(\widehat{G}_S) N(\Theta_S) \quad (5.7)$$

( $\vec{S} = \langle j_1, \dots, j_n \rangle$ ). Again Eq. (5.7) is understood as

$$N(\Theta_{j_1}) \cdots N(\Theta_{j_n}) = \text{mult} \circ (\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}} \otimes N)(\widehat{G}_S \Theta_S). \quad (5.8)$$

Equation (5.8) shows that the right hand side of Eq. (5.6) always defines an operator  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  since the right hand sides of Eqs. (5.8) and (5.6) can be written as:

$$(\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes N)(\widehat{G}_S \Theta_S) \quad \text{and} \quad (R \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes N)(\widehat{G}_S \Theta_S),$$

respectively, and the map  $\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}$  is an *injection*. Hence, our idea to construct time-ordered products by Eq. (3.2) or Eq. (3.1) is correct even if we start with off-shell fields: the corresponding new formula is (5.6) and it will preserve the kernel in the passage from off-shell fields to on-shell fields.

An important consequence of Eq. (5.7) is

$$N(\Theta_{S'}) N(\Theta_{S''}) = \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(\widehat{G}_{S', S''}) N(\Theta_S), \quad (5.9)$$

---

<sup>8</sup>Since we use in (5.1) differences of a type left minus right coordinate then it follows that the boundary values appear with respect to backward tubes instead of forward.

where for a disjoint union  $S = S' \dot{\cup} S''$  of ordered sets  $\vec{S}'$  and  $\vec{S}''$  we equip  $S$  with an order  $S' \prec S''$  and introduce the splittings  $\Theta_S = \Theta_{S'}\Theta_{S''}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_S &= \widehat{G}_{S'} \widehat{G}_{S''} \widehat{G}_{S', S''}, \\ \widehat{G}_{S'} &= \prod_{\substack{j, k \in S' \\ j \prec k}} \widehat{G}_{jk}, \quad \widehat{G}_{S''} = \prod_{\substack{j, k \in S'' \\ j \prec k}} \widehat{G}_{jk}, \quad \widehat{G}_{S', S''} = \prod_{\substack{j \in S' \\ j \in S''}} \widehat{G}_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

The causality relation (4.4) will follow now from Eq. (5.9) if  $R$  satisfies the following equation:

$$R(\widehat{G}_S) \Big|_{\mathfrak{C}_{S; S'}} = R(\widehat{G}_{S'}) R(\widehat{G}_{S''}) \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(\widehat{G}_{S', S''}) \Big|_{\mathfrak{C}_{S; S'}}.$$

This will be implied by the recursive axiomatic property (r4) of the renormalization maps  $R$  introduced in the next section. Before we pass to the definition of renormalization maps we shall show how Eq. (5.9) implies (4.4). On  $\mathfrak{C}_{S; S'}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Theta_S) &= R(\widehat{G}_S) N(\Theta_S) = R(\widehat{G}_{S'}) R(\widehat{G}_{S''}) \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(\widehat{G}_{S', S''}) N(\Theta_S) \\ &= R(\widehat{G}_{S'}) R(\widehat{G}_{S''}) N(\Theta_{S'}) N(\Theta_{S''}) = T(\Theta_{S'}) T(\Theta_{S''}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\Theta_S := \Theta_{S'} \Theta_{S''}).$$

## 6. Theory of renormalization maps on Minkowski space

Let us now consider the modification of the theory of renormalization maps on the Minkowski space. We introduced above an improved version of them replacing the whole system  $\{R_S\}_S$  with a single linear map

$$R : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}$$

(and so,  $R_S \equiv R|_{\mathcal{O}_S}$ ). In order to make sure that this be possible we need to assume that the system of renormalization maps  $R_S : \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_S$  is consistent with the inductive limits. This is then equivalent to the enhanced version of the requirement (r1), which we discussed in Remarks 2.1–2.3 of [N1].

Thus, the modified axiomatic requirements on the map  $R$  are the following. The conditions (r1)–(r3) remains essentially the same on the Minkowski and the Euclidean space:

(r1) *Permutation symmetry.* For every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$  we require  $\sigma \circ R = R \circ \sigma$ .

(r2) *Preservation of the filtrations.* The scaling degree does not increase  $\text{sc.d. } R(G) \leq \text{sc.d. } G$ .

(r3) *Commutativity with multiplication by polynomials.* If  $p$  is a polynomial on  $M_S$  ( $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ ) then  $R(pG) = pR(G)$ .

**Remark 6.1.** Property (r3) might look artificial from physical point of view and in fact it is not necessary for the construction of the time-ordered products. In paper [N1] this property plays a crucial role for the reduction of the cohomological analysis of the renormalization group to de Rham cohomologies of configuration spaces. We considered in (r3) only polynomials since we wish to work algebraically. But if we work on manifolds then it is natural to require commutativity between the renormalization maps and multiplication by everywhere smooth functions, i.e.,  $R(pG) = pR(G)$  for  $p \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_S)$ . Then the latter property becomes very natural from geometric point of view since it allows us to make localization (i.e., to use localization techniques like partition of unity).

The last condition (r4) needs more essential modification

(r4) *Causality.* For every disjoint union  $S = S' \dot{\cup} S''$  we have

$$R(G_S) \Big|_{\mathfrak{C}_{S;S'}} = R(G_{S'}) R(G_{S''}) \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(G_{S'}, S'') \Big|_{\mathfrak{C}_{S;S'}} \quad (6.1)$$

for every  $G_S \in \mathcal{O}_S$  of the form (5.1), where we consider some order on the sets  $S'$  and  $S''$  and equip  $S$  with the order induced by  $S' \prec S''$ ; finally, as above, we introduce the splitting

$$G_S = G_{S'} G_{S''} G_{S', S''}, \quad G_{S'} = \prod_{\substack{j, k \in S' \\ j \prec k}} G_{jk}, \quad G_{S''} = \prod_{\substack{j, k \in S'' \\ j \prec k}} G_{jk}, \quad G_{S', S''} = \prod_{\substack{j \in S' \\ j \in S''}} G_{jk}. \quad (6.2)$$

The right hand side of Eq. (6.1) is correct due to the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The product  $R(G_{S'}) R(G_{S''}) \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(G_{S'}, S'')$  exists on  $M_S$ .*

*Proof.* We have to show that the sums of the wave front sets over<sup>9</sup>  $M_S$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S'})) + \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S''})), \\ & \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S'})) + \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(G_{S'}, S'')), \\ & \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S''})) + \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(G_{S'}, S'')), \\ & \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S'})) + \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S''})) + \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(\text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}}(G_{S'}, S'')) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

<sup>9</sup>We shall specify in the wave front also the base on which the distribution is considered since we are using inductive systems of spaces  $\mathcal{D}'_S$  ( $:= \mathcal{D}'(M_S)$ ,  $M_S := M^S/M$ ) and a distribution from  $\mathcal{D}'_S$  can be also considered in  $\mathcal{D}'_{S'}$  for  $S \subseteq S'$ .

do not intersect the zero section of the cotangent bundle  $T^*(M_S)$ . This is clear for the first three sums and for the last we first use a general statement about the wave front of boundary values of analytic functions, which implies

$$\text{b.v.}_{(-)}(G_{jk}) \subseteq M \oplus V_-$$

where  $\text{b.v.}_{(-)}(G_{jk})$  is the boundary value of  $G_{jk}(x)$  in the backward tube  $M + iV_-$ ,  $V_- = -V_+$  (the opened backward light-cone). It then follows that

$$\text{w.f.}_{M_S}(\text{b.v.}_{\bar{S}}(G_{S', S''})) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{j \in S' \\ k \in S''}} (\pi_{\{j, k\}}^S)^*(M \oplus V_-), \quad (6.4)$$

where  $(\pi_{S'}^S)^* : T^*(M_{S'}) \rightarrow T^*(M_S)$  is defined for every  $S' \subseteq S$  as the pull-back of the natural projection  $\pi_{S'}^S : M_S \rightarrow M_{S'} : [x_j]_{j \in S} \mapsto [x_j]_{j \in S'}$ . Let us combine Eq. (6.4) with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S'})) &\subseteq (\pi_{S'}^S)^*(\text{w.f.}_{M_{S'}}(R(G_{S'}))), \\ \text{w.f.}_{M_S}(R(G_{S''})) &\subseteq (\pi_{S''}^S)^*(\text{w.f.}_{M_{S''}}(R(G_{S''}))) \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and use the splitting

$$M_S \cong M_{S'} \oplus M_{S''} \oplus M : [x_j]_{j \in S} \mapsto [x_j]_{j \in S'} \oplus [x_j]_{j \in S''} \oplus (x_{\max S'} - x_{\min S''}). \quad (6.6)$$

Then the fibers in the tangent bundle  $T(M_S)$  splits according to (6.6), which then implies a decomposition of the cotangent bundle. Let  $pr_3 : T^*(M_S) \rightarrow T^*(M_S)$  be the projection that corresponds to the third summand in Eq. (6.6) (i.e., the projection onto the annihilator of the tangent spaces of the first two summands in Eq. (6.6)). it follows that  $pr_3$  maps the right hand sides in Eq. (6.5) to the zero section, and on the other hand,  $pr_3$  maps the right hand side of Eq. (6.4) to  $M \oplus V_-$ . So, the fourth sum in Eq. (6.3) also does not intersect the zero section of  $T^*(M_S)$ .  $\square$

This completes the list of modified axiomatic conditions for the renormalization map  $R$  on the Minkowski space. Let us add one more natural assumption

(r5) *Lorentz invariance.* The map  $R : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  intertwines the natural actions of the Lorentz group on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

As in the Euclidean case, the above invariance is ensured later by the construction of  $R$ .

Now, the construction of  $R$  follows the same scheme like in the Euclidean case. First, since the sets  $\mathfrak{C}_{S,S'}$  form an open covering of  $M_S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  we have again inductively defined secondary renormalization maps

$$\dot{R}_S : \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{temp}(M_S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}).$$

Hence, what remains to do is to compose  $\dot{R}_S$

$$\dot{R}_S \circ P_S =: R_S$$

with a primary renormalization map<sup>10</sup>

$$P_S : \mathcal{D}'_{temp}(M_S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_S.$$

Following [N1], the linear maps  $P_S$  can be constructed by means of a larger system of linear maps  $\mathcal{P}_N : \mathcal{D}'_{temp}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , so that  $P_S \cong \mathcal{P}_{D(|S|-1)}$ . The axiomatic properties for  $\mathcal{P}_N$ : (p1)–(p5) from [N1] together with (p6) ([N1, Remark 2.2]), remain almost the same except the requirement of Euclidean invariance in (p3), which have to be replaced by the permutation symmetry and Lorentz invariance for  $P_S$ .<sup>11</sup>

This completes the construction of the renormalization map  $R$  on the Minkowski space.

**Remark 6.2.** Condition (r4) can be generalized also for arbitrary *ordered*  $S$ –partitions. Theorem 2.9 in [N1] about changes of renormalization will remain valid in the same form on Minkowski space. Its proof however should be modified and we have to use now ordered partitions in order to apply the recursion according to generalized property (r4).

At the end of this section let us summarize what we have proven for the construction of time–ordered products.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $R : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  be a renormalization map that satisfies the requirements (r1)–(r5) listed above. Let us define a linear map  $T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\mathbb{N}}$  by Eq. (5.3) (or, Eq. (5.6)). Then the map  $T$  is well defined and satisfies the properties (T<sub>0</sub>)–(T<sub>5</sub>) listed in Sect. 2 (but possibly without the unitarity (T<sub>6</sub>)).*

---

<sup>10</sup>The systems of linear  $P_S$  and  $\dot{R}_S$  do not form inductive systems. This is because the system of the intermediate spaces  $\mathcal{D}'_{temp}(M_S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$  is not an inductive system.

<sup>11</sup>It is more convenient to exclude from the initial requirements for  $\mathcal{P}_N$  in [N1] the property (p3) and just at the end ensure it in the above modified form for  $P_n \cong \mathcal{P}_{D(n-1)}$  by using semi-simplicity of the Lorentz group.



*Final remarks on the proof.* We have already argued why  $(T_0)$ – $(T_4)$  are satisfied and we would like to discuss here condition  $(T_5)$ , the scaling degree. This is ensured by the fact that the operators  $\widehat{G}_{jk}$  (5.5), and hence,  $\widehat{G}_S$  (5.4), preserve the filtration on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  (this filtration is the induced one from the scaling degree on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and the grading on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$ :  $\leq \text{sc.d.} \otimes \text{dim}$ ). This is simply because the operators  $C_{A,r;B,s}(x_j - x_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{A,r,j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{B,s,k}}$  in the arguments of the exponent in  $\widehat{G}_{jk}$  have this property: the derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{A,r,j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{B,s,k}}$  decreases the filtration by  $\dim \varphi_{A,r,j} + \dim \varphi_{B,s,k}$ , while  $C_{A,r;B,s}(x_j - x_k)$  ( $= \partial_{x_j}^r \partial_{x_k}^s \langle 0 | \varphi_A(x_j) \varphi_B(x_k) | 0 \rangle$ ) increases the filtration with the same value. Note that at this point we also use condition (4.1) on the grading function  $\dim$ , which implies that the scaling degree of  $C_{A,r;B,s}(x_j - x_k)$  is less than or equal to  $\dim \varphi_{A,r,j} + \dim \varphi_{B,s,k}$ .

## 7. Unitarity

As we mentioned in Theorem 6.2 we do not know whether the properties  $(r1)$ – $(r5)$  imply the unitarity condition  $(T_6)$  (Eq. (4.5)) for the time-ordered products. What we can only say now is that a sufficient condition for this would be the following additional property on the renormalization map  $R$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R(G_S^*)} &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\text{ordered partitions} \\ (S_1, \dots, S_m) \text{ of } S}} (-1)^{|S|+m} R(G_{S_1}) \cdots (G_{S_m}) \\ &\quad \times \text{b.v.}_{\vec{S}_{S_1, \dots, S_m}} \left( G_{\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

( $G_S \in \mathcal{O}_S$  has the form (5.1)). Here: the  $\star$ -operation on  $G_S^*$  is the “CPT-operation”,<sup>12</sup>

$$G_S^*(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n}) := \overline{G_S(-x_{j_1}, \dots, -x_{j_n})} \quad (S = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\});$$

the ordered sets  $\vec{S}_{S_1, \dots, S_m}$  defining the boundary values in Eq. (7.1) is obtained by any orders on  $S_k$  and  $S_1 \prec \cdots \prec S_m$ ; the notation  $G_{\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}}$  follows the conventions from [N1, see Eq. (2.14)], i.e.,

$$G_{\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}} = \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq m} \prod_{\substack{j \in S_a \\ k \in S_b}} G_{jk}(x_j - x_k)$$

<sup>12</sup>In particular, this assumes that  $\mathcal{O}_S$  is  $\star$ -invariant, which thus should be added to the axiomatic requirements on  $\mathcal{O}_S$  (and enough, for  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ). The operation  $\star$  is an anti-automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

(which generalizes the notation  $G_{S', S''}$  (6.2)). Note that the product of the distributions in the right hand side of Eq. (7.1) exists, which can be proven in the same way as Lemma 6.1. The unitarity condition (4.5) can be derived from Eq. (7.1) if we use a generalization of Eq. (5.9) that is

$$N(\Theta_{S_1}) \cdots N(\Theta_{S_m}) = \text{b.v.} \vec{S}_{S_1, \dots, S_m} \left( \hat{G}_{\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}} \right) N(\Theta_S) \quad (7.2)$$

( $\Theta_{S_k} \in \mathcal{F}_{S_k}$ ,  $\Theta_S = \Theta_{S_1} \cdots \Theta_{S_m}$ ).

The next problem is to find an additional condition on the primary renormalization maps, which would imply Eq. (7.1).

Nevertheless, it is interesting to study the renormalization group even without the unitarity condition. In fact, in the Euclidean case the counterpart of the unitarity is just the fact that the renormalization maps transform real functions to real distributions, which is thus satisfied by definition. Let us also point out that even if we construct time-ordered products, which do not obey the unitarity then there is a simple, purely algebraic way to pass to a new system of time-ordered products that satisfy all  $(T_0)$ – $(T_6)$  ([EG]).

## 8. Action Ward identity

This is the condition

$$T(\partial_{x_k}^\mu \Theta) = \partial_{x_k}^\mu T(\Theta) \quad (8.1)$$

( $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu = 0, \dots, D-1$ ). In fact, since we are working only with translation invariant distributions we have the translation invariance of  $T$ :

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} T(\partial_{x_k}^\mu \Theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_{x_k}^\mu T(\Theta). \quad (8.2)$$

Condition (8.1) has been established in the off-shell (functional) approach ([DF]) but it has simple obstructions in the operator formalism. For instance, for a free scalar field of mass  $m$  the field equations together with the causal Wick expansion implies that

$$(\square_{x_1} - m^2) T(\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)) = \delta(x_1 - x_2) \neq T((\square_{x_1} - m^2)\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)) = 0.$$

A sufficient condition for Eq. (8.1) would be to require a similar additional condition on the renormalization map  $R$ :

$$R(\partial_{x_k}^\mu G) = \partial_{x_k}^\mu R(G) \quad (8.3)$$

( $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu = 0, \dots, D-1$ ). But now this contradicts to the requirement (r3) that is also equivalent to the identities

$$R(x_k^\mu G) = x_k^\mu R(G). \quad (8.4)$$

The reason is that the two conditions, (8.3) and (8.4), would imply that the renormalization map commute with the action of all linear partial differential operators, which is not possible. Of course, one can ask why not to use condition (8.4) instead of (8.3)? The proof of Lemma 2.7 in [N1] shows that in the case of (8.3) the recursion there goes in the wrong direction (see also Remark 6.1). And in fact, there are again simple obstructions for Eq. (8.3): the function  $(x^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}+1}$  (on  $D$ -dimensional Minkowski space) has a unique extension<sup>13</sup>  $R((x^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}+1})$  and this is the Green function for the D'Alembert operator; hence,

$$\square_x R((x^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}+1}) = \delta(x) \neq R(\square_x (x^2)^{-\frac{D}{2}+1}) = 0.$$

## 9. Renormalizing perturbative Euclidean field theory

The Euclidean version of our “theory of renormalization maps” is easier but to formulate perturbative Euclidean QFT in the spirit of algebraic perturbative QFT on Minkowski space is more difficult. The problem is what would replace the time-ordered products in the Euclidean case. Of course, we can use again the formula  $T(\Theta_S) = R(\widehat{G}_S) N(\Theta_S)$  (Eq. (5.3)) for a definition of the map  $T$ . The problem then is that in the Euclidean case there are no pointwise Wick products! In other words,  $N(\Theta_S)$  do not generally exist as densely defined operators on the Euclidean Fock space. They can be only represented as quadratic forms. For instance, one may see this problem even for Wick squares: if  $\varphi_E(x)$  is the free Euclidean field of mass  $m$  can we define  $:\varphi_E^2:(x)$  as an operator on the Euclidean Fock space? If so, then

$$\langle 0 | : \varphi_E^2 : (x) : \varphi_E^2 : (y) | 0 \rangle = G_E(x-y)^2.$$

But in contrast to the Minkowski case  $G_E(x-y)^2$  does not exist (while the square or product of any Wightman functions do exist).

A possible way-out is to work only off-shell ([S1, K]) and hope that we can construct a positive functional on the algebra of renormalized Euclidean time-ordered products. However, it is unlikely that the latter is possible.

---

<sup>13</sup>since its scaling degree is less than  $D$

The reason is that such a positive functional will extend the vacuum functional on the initial Euclidean field algebra (which is in fact, affiliated with a von Neumann commutative algebra) and hence, it will be possible to represent the time-ordered products on the Euclidean space, which already exist as quadratic forms there, also as operators.

**Acknowledgments.** I am grateful to Professor R. Stora for his critical remarks to my paper [N1], which stimulated the present work. I am also grateful to Professor I. Todorov for his comments. This work was partially supported by the French–Bulgarian project Rila under the contract Egide – Rila N112 and by Bulgarian NSF grant DO 02–257.

## References

- [DF] M. Dütsch, K. Fredenhagen, Causal perturbation theory in terms of retarded products, and a proof of the action Ward identity, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **16** (2004) 1291–1348
- [EG] H. Epstein and V. Glaser, The role of locality in perturbation theory, *Ann. Henri Poincaré A* XIX (1973), 211
- [J] R. Jost, *General theory of quantized fields*, AMS (1964)
- [K] K.J. Keller, Euclidean Epstein-Glaser Renormalization, arXiv: 0902.4789
- [N1] N.M. Nikolov, Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory and Cohomologies of Configuration Spaces, arXiv: 0903.0187 (version 4)
- [N2] N.M. Nikolov, Talk on Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory and Cohomologies of Configuration Spaces, arXiv: 0907.3735
- [S1] R. Stora, A note on elliptic perturbative renormalization on a compact manifold, unpublished notes, CERN & LAPP-TH.
- [S2] R. Stora, Renormalized Perturbation Theory: A Missing Chapter, *Int. Journ. of Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys.* **5** (2008) 1345–1360